Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/482,725	PEINADO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>CUONG H. NGUYEN (USPTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Steven H Meyer (Reg.no. 37,189)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>6 April 2005</u>	Time: <u>1:50 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	·	
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The attorney wants to make sure that the Office Action mailed on examiner confirms herein that Office Action mailed on 10/13/2004	- 40/40/0004	
Part III.		,
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance
Everying (ODE O		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/)	Applicant's Representative S	ignature - if appropriate)